UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,322	02/25/2004	Daniel M. Lafontaine	1001.2560102	2641
	7590	EXAMINER		
1221 NICOLLE		GIBSON, ROY DEAN		
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/786,322	LAFONTAINE, DANIEL M.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Roy D. Gibson	3739	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

• •	•				
The amendment document filed on <u>24 May 2010</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.					
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AME 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include mark B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined C. Other	kings.				
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFFB. Other	R 1.72.				
"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR	the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or 1.121(d). g correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings s, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.				
C. Each claim has not been provided with the of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the number by using one of the following status (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered	present. xt of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status he status of every claim must be indicated after its claim s identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), d), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). not been presented in ascending numerical order.				
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not sig See Continuation Sheet	ned in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):				
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.					
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:					
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 					
Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.					
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136 amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Q	6(a) <u>only</u> if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final buayle action.				
filed in response to a Quayle action; or	ant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental				
Roy D. Gibson/ Primary Examiner					

Continuation of 5 Other: The reply to the Final Rejection was not filed within 3 months from 12/30/2009, no extension of time was requested nor a fee paid nor a Notice of Appeal filed. A reply must be mailed by 6/30/2010 to be compliant. For you further consideration:

The examiner assumes that Fig. 8 is being described in the claims, however, the first balloon claimed (444) is recited in the Specification as the "inner cooling chamber" and the second balloon claimed (446) is recited as the "outer cooling chamber". The only recitation of a first and second balloon in on page 6 of the Specification in lines 19 and 20 and no reference numbers are presented. Furthermore, Fig. 13 of Wittenburger et al. presents this configuration even though the inner balloon is expanded by air. Note that the air would typically be cooler than body temperature, therefore, be broadly intrepreted as " an inner cooling chamber" and be capable of "retaining a coolant within the inner chamber", and the second balloon would inherently be capable of retaining the coolant if the first balloon fails.